Digitalization and Society

Interdisciplinary Conference on the Challenges of the Digital Society

Conference volume

Editor of the volume

Dr. jur Edit Kriston PhD

Proofreaders

Dr. jur. Edit Kriston PhD
Dr. jur. Gábor Mélypataki PhD
dr.jur. Barbara Tóth

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

THE CONFERNCE AND THE CONFERENCE VOLUME BASED ON IT WAS PREPARED IN THE "NATIONAL LABORATORY FOR SOCIAL INNOVATION" PROJECT (RRF-2.3.1-21-2022-00013), WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF HUNGARY'S RECOVERY AND RESILIENCE PLAN, WITH THE SUPPORT OF THE RECOVERY AND RESILIENCE FACILITY OF THE EUROPEAN UNION.

University of Miskolc

Faculty of Law

2025

ISBN 978-963-358-431-6

THE IMPACT OF DIGITALISATION AND AI APPLICATION IN CAPITAL MARKETS – LEGAL CHALLENGES AND REGULATORY RESPONSES [1]

Ranko Sovilj, PhD
Senior Research Associate
University of Belgrade

Aleksandar Vukadinović, MA
Junior Research Assistant
University of Belgrade

Introduction

The impact of technological advancements on international capital markets has profoundly transformed the legal landscape, introducing both new opportunities and significant challenges for regulators, market participants, and governments. Technologies such as digitalization, automation and the application of artificial intelligence have become a crucial catalyst in the transformation of modern capital markets, highlighting the increasing prominence of technology-driven financial instruments.[2] The legal implications of digitalization in capital markets are a growing area of interest as technological advances increasingly impact capital markets around the world. The transition to digitalization offers a range of opportunities but also imposes complex challenges related to regulation, compliance, transparency, and the preservation of stability and fairness in capital markets. Therefore, this paper examines the pivotal role of law in regulating innovative financial technologies in modern capital markets with a particular focus on the evolving legal and regulatory frameworks necessary to address these advancements.

Emerging technologies like artificial intelligence, big data, blockchain, machine learning, and Internet of things have brought new possibilities for improving financial services in general, and for advancing digital and green finance in particular. One of the major challenges faced by digital finance initiatives is participation from the private sector. International financial organizations are increasingly focused on fostering private sector engagement and securing investments to support the mainstream adoption of digital finance. The integration of advanced technologies into these initiatives has proven to be a critical mechanism in this regard. However, while the application of such technologies offers numerous advantages, it simultaneously introduces a number of associated risks and challenges that must be carefully managed in the context of evolving regulatory frameworks.[3]

The digital economy, emerging as a new paradigm for the efficient and optimal allocation of resources, has exerted a significant impact on the broader economic and financial landscape. It has radically altered public consumption patterns and lifestyle behaviors, serving as a pivotal catalyst for economic development. Furthermore, it has facilitated the promotion of high-quality economic growth and the sustainable regeneration of resources, positioning itself as a critical engine of progress and innovation in contemporary economic systems.[4] The financial sector is currently undergoing a profound digital disruption, a phenomenon that fundamentally alters expectations and behaviors in a culture, market, industry, or process, driven by or expressed through digital capabilities, channels, or assets. Consequently, digitalization are in the center of the financial world today. In a broader sense, digitalization refers to the application of digital technologies to transform a business model and provide new revenue and value-creating opportunities.[5] It encompasses the process of transitioning to a fully digitalized business framework. Therefore, digitalization, as the

[1] This paper was written as part of the 2025 Research Program of the Institute of Social Sciences supported by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia.
[2] Uysal and Bozkaya, 2021, p. 35
[3] Gedikli, Sharma, Erdogan and Hammoudeh, 2024, p. 1
[4] Feng, Dong and Wang, 2024, p. 1
[5] Zeranski and Sancak, 2020, p. 312

process of converting a product or process into a digital form, represents the most significant transformation in the global economy since the industrial revolution.[6]
Digitalization has already been used in the capital market, particularly through the dematerialization of shares and bonds. Many jurisdictions have enacted laws permitting the issuance of shares, bonds, and government debt instruments in an uncertificated or dematerialized form. Such dematerialization generally operates through the maintenance of a centralized register, managed by the issuing company, with the securities being traded either on over-the-counter markets (OTC market), or through a stock exchange (regulated market).[7] Hence, the digitization of the capital markets has imposed new responsibilities upon financial regulators. In light of the current global financial crisis, a unique opportunity has emerged to derive cross-sectoral insights that extend beyond traditional economic metrics. Financial technology (FinTech), which uses advanced technologies like blockchain, cryptocurrencies, eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL), and artificial intelligence (AI), has completely transformed the financial services industry.[8] This transformation has extended to the automation of advisory services, thereby enhancing operational efficiency. By mitigating human error and optimizing time processing, these innovative financial technologies serve to realign and increase the effectiveness and quality of financial services.[9] As a result, rather than solely depending on traditional banks, brokers, dealers, and investment advisers, financial services are progressively being executed by artificially intelligent algorithms. These algorithms represent predefined computerized processes capable of being programmed to gather data, conduct sophisticated analyses to estimate its value, and derive outcomes such as lending decisions or determinations regarding the purchase and sale of securities. Broadly speaking, AI algorithms serve as the fundamental framework for the provision of a diverse array of FinTech products and services.[10]
In this paper, we examine the digitalization of the comprehensive financial system, with a primary focus on innovative technologies such as financial technology (FinTech), regulatory technology (RegTech), and supervisory technology (SupTech). These three concepts are strategic pillars within the contemporary financial sector and possess numerous interconnections. While they are closely related, each requires distinct perspectives and approaches to formulate effective policies. Although they all encompass the term technology, technological tools are used for different functions in each area.

1. Regulating Financial Innovation - Navigating the Intersection of FinTech and Market Stability

Prior to the global financial crisis in 2008, financial innovation was regarded with considerable optimism, leading to a *laissez-faire* and deregulatory approach to financial regulations.[11] In the aftermath of the financial crisis, FinTech and data-driven financial services providers have significantly challenged the existing legal and regulatory framework. Financial regulators are now striving to reconcile the competing objectives of fostering innovation, ensuring financial stability, and safeguarding consumer protection.[12] This section will provide a review of recent developments

[6] Bertoni et al., 2022, p. 1120
[7] Sovilj, 2019, pp. 161-163
[8] It is important to note that the integration between technology and finance has been known to humanity for several centuries. The advent of the telegraph, coupled with the use of Morse code, represents the initial stages of the FinTech revolution, which occurred over a century ago. This technological development significantly contributed to the reduction of trading costs for basic commodities. By enabling the transmission of price data across vast distances in a relatively short timeframe, it allowed traders of such commodities to access real-time market information from disparate locations globally. Pavlović, 2019.
[9] Naude, 2021, p. 4
[10] Yadav, 2020, p. 1126
[11] Sovilj, 2023, p. 11
[12] Allen, Gu and Jagtiani, 2020, p.37

in the FinTech regulation, with a particular focus on the growing influence of technology in the regulatory landscape.

From the perspective of the financial services industry, digitization is disrupting the traditional financial services sector, including capital market, banking, and insurance, and is being driven by a new generation of entrepreneurial companies. These FinTech companies seek to either enhance the consumer experience by introducing innovative services or improve the operational efficiency of financial service delivery.[13] Crowdfunding, digital assets, mobile banks, robo-advice, algorithmic trading, and high-frequency trading are in the domain of FinTech. The biggest FinTech activities are in the areas of payments, clearing and settlement services with a 41 % share.[14]

The rapid progression of financial technology in recent years has significantly contributed to the manner in which financial products and services are developed, disseminated, and utilized. Despite the substantial investment of billions of dollars in the global FinTech industry, significant gaps remain in the understanding of the precise role of these companies, their impact on customers, other entrepreneurial ventures, and established market participants, as well as the factors driving their success.[15] These questions are of critical importance, particularly in the light of the recently economic disruption caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, which has not only severely affected traditional sources of startup capital but also catalyzed digitization at an unprecedented rate.[16]

Financial technology (FinTech) refers to the use of technology in the financial sector. In fact, FinTech refers to companies that use technological solutions to expand and improve the offering of financial services in general. FinTech originally referred to the Citicorp (now Citigroup) Financial Services Technology Consortium, established to encourage, rather than hinder, technological collaboration with external companies.[17] Additionally, FinTech can be defined as innovation in financial services. The UK Government Chief Scientific Adviser, Sir Mark Walport, defines FinTech as 'financial technologies that integrate finance and technology in ways that disrupt traditional financial models and businesses and provide an array of new services to businesses and consumers.'[18] Similarly, FinTech has been defined by the Financial Stability Board (FSB) within the context of its report named Financial Stability Implications from FinTech - Supervisory and Regulatory Issues that Merit Authorities' Attention as: 'Technology-enabled innovation in financial services that could result in new business models, applications, processes or products with an associated material effect on the provision of financial services.' Namely, this report categorizes FinTech innovations by their principal economic functions and activities, rather than by the underlying technologies or regulatory classifications.[19] The FSB Report is applied to a sample of specific FinTech activities (e.g. artificial intelligence, digital assets, FinTech credit, machine learning, robo-advisors, and wholesale payments innovations), so as to estimates the potential benefits and risks to financial stability. The potential benefits include decentralization and enhanced intermediation by non-financial entities, improved efficiency, transparency, competition, and resilience of the financial system, as well as increased financial inclusion and economic growth, primarily in emerging market and developing economies.[20] Conversely, potential risks encompass both micro financial (e.g. credit risk, leverage, liquidity risk, maturity mismatch, and operational risks, including cyber risk and legal risk) and macro-financial (e.g. unsustainable credit growth, heightened interconnectedness or correlation, incentives for increased risk-taking by incumbent institutions, procyclicality, contagion, and systemic importance) concerns.[21]

[13] Bertoni et al., 2022, p. 1121
[14] Bank for International Settlement – BIS, 2018, pp. 9-12
[15] Allen, Gu and Jagtiani, 2020, p. 3
[16] Howell et al., 2023, p. 4
[17] Walker, 2017, p. 140
[18] Walport, 2015, p. 5
[19] Financial Stability Board - FSB, 2017, p. 3
[20] Financial Stability Board - FSB, 2017, p. 3
[21] Financial Stability Board - FSB, 2017, p. 3

Yadav and Brummer outlined a range of administrative ambition and regulatory strategies aimed at addressing the potential risks posed by FinTech, including the implementation of informal guidance, no-action letters, regulatory sandboxes, and various pilot programs, as well as considerations regarding licensing versus chartering forms of organization.[22] A regulatory sandbox in the FinTech sector constitutes an innovative regulatory approach characterized by informal oversight mechanisms. Specifically, it serves as a controlled environment that enables FinTech firms to test novel products, services, business models, or delivery mechanisms in a real market environment. These tests are conducted under the supervision of relevant regulatory authorities and are subject to predefined conditions and safeguards designed to mitigate potential risks. The sandbox framework facilitates experimentation within a temporarily relaxed regulatory regime, thereby encouraging innovation while ensuring that such activities do not pose excessive risk to investors, the financial system, or market integrity.[23] It provides innovators with a structured platform, to develop and evaluate new technologies without the immediate burden of full regulatory compliance, while maintaining sufficient regulatory engagement to ensure public interest protections. For instance, Ringe and Ruof advocate for the establishment of a regulatory sandbox pertaining to robo-advice, enabling market participants to evaluate robo-advice services in a real market environment, engaging with actual consumers, all while being subjected to rigorous oversight by the competent authority. They suggest that robo-advisors could also have the potential to positively disrupt the financial market by challenging existing players, stealing market share, and diversifying the market.[24]

The United Kingdom's Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) was the first regulatory body to propose and implement a FinTech regulatory sandbox. The initiative was presented in a regulatory sandbox report in November 2015, and came into effect in June 2016 when it was first opened for applications. The FCA accepted applications for its first two cohorts in June 2016, and December 2016, respectively. Across these two sandbox groups, the FCA received a total of 146 applications, of which 50 accepted, and 41 have been tested. Approximately a third of the companies participating in the initial cohort utilized the insights gained during the testing phase to make substantial modifications to their business models prior to launching their products or services in the broader market.[25] The FCA offers these companies a restricted license. Notably, companies that are already subject to FCA regulation are also eligible to apply for participation. To facilitate the evaluation of innovative concepts, the FCA is willing to provide no-action letters concerning enforcement, rule modifications, or license waivers as a strategy to foster experimentation. In addition, the FCA has clearly indicated its openness to relaxing or waiving the application of rules when compliance may impose undue challenges on prospective innovators.[26] This pioneering initiative was subsequently adopted by several other jurisdictions, including Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, Malaysia, and Singapore. Other jurisdictions are engaging in a competitive effort to establish equivalent innovation hubs, albeit with varying characteristics. As part of its sandbox, the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC), Australia's services market regulator, is offering licensing powers to FinTech companies to test financial services and credit-based innovations in a real-world environment. Using a sandbox, FinTech companies should be able to test their service or activity without an Australian Financial Services (AFS) license or an Australian credit license for up to 24 months.[27] This initiative enables them to trial financial services and credit-based innovations in a real-world context. The waiver permits FinTech companies to operate without the necessity of obtaining full authorization for regulated activities, thereby allowing them to explore novel innovations within the sandbox's strategically moderated

[22] Yadav and Brummer, 2019, p. 243
[23] Allen, Gu and Jagtiani, 2020, p. 41
[24] Ringe and Ruof, 2019, p. 16
[25] Financial Conduct Authority – FCA, 2017, pp. 3-6
[26] Yadav and Brummer, 2019, p. 292
[27] ASIC, 2020, p. 1

compliance environment.[28] The FinTech companies are required to comply with specified disclosure requirements and other obligations, and must demonstrate the capacity to adequately compensate investors for any potential losses incurred during the testing period. The licensing waivers or modifications are intended to facilitate the controlled testing of FinTech innovations, potentially simplifying the regulatory pathway for such companies in the long term.[29] The US regulatory authorities, including the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) have also implemented some pilot programs to further understand the different aspects of FinTech to consumers and the financial systems.[30]

Although the majority of sandboxes and regulatory innovation hubs are still in their initial phases, a concise overview indicates that they, similar to other more constrained forms of regulatory innovation, are structured to address the inherent trade-offs. Their objective is to foster financial innovation and to increase the competitiveness of local markets and financial systems. By establishing an environment conducive to experimentation and facilitating dialogue with regulators, often supported by streamlined rules and compliance frameworks, new products can be implemented and evaluated.[31]

2. Regulatory Technology (RegTech) - Improving Compliance, Supervision, and Financial Stability in the Digital Era

RegTech (Regulatory technology) is often regarded as a subset of FinTech that focuses on facilitating regulatory compliance more efficiently and effectively than existing capabilities. On the one hand, FinTech refers to the use of technology to provide financial solutions, and on the other hand, RegTech describes the use of technology in the context of regulatory monitoring, reporting, and compliance. Institute of International Finance (IIF) defines the RegTech as 'the use of new technologies to solve regulatory and compliance requirements more effectively and efficiently'.[32] The Financial Stability Board (FSB) also defines RegTech as 'any range of applications of FinTech for regulatory and compliance requirements and reporting by regulated financial institutions.' RegTech assists companies in automating routine compliance tasks and mitigating operational risks associated with fulfilling compliance and reporting obligations.[33] Additionally, the UK's Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) also defines RegTech as: 'RegTech is a sub-set of FinTech that focuses on technologies that may facilitate the delivery of regulatory requirements more efficiently and effectively than existing capabilities.'[34] Similarly, the UK Government's Chief Scientific Adviser refers to this in terms of 'regulatory technologies encompass any technological innovation that can be applied to or used in regulation, typically to improve efficiency and transparency.'[35] Some authors argue that RegTech cannot be simplified as a category of FinTech. RegTech and FinTech may share or use similar or the same technology, but one is not the sub-set of the other one.[36] The same situation also holds for SupTech. By making compliance less complex, RegTech solutions could free capital to put to more productive uses, increase competition by removing a barrier to entry, improve the quality and efficiency of supervision, and reduce systemic risk. RegTech can also help develop data-driven regulation and compliance, regulatory infrastructure and training, as well as education.[37]

[28] Yadav and Brummer, 2019, p. 292
[29] Yadav and Brummer, 2019, p. 293
[30] Allen, Gu and Jagtiani, 2020, p. 42
[31] Yadav and Brummer, 2019, p. 294
[32] Institute of International Finance - IIF, 2016, p. 3
[33] Financial Stability Board - FSB, 2017, p. 22
[34] Financial Conduct Authority - FCA, 2016, p. 3
[35] Walport, 2015, p. 47
[36] Zeranski and Sancak, 2020, p. 315
[37] Walker, 2017, p. 143

The term RegTech also encompasses a range of tools and systems that are primarily supervisory and reporting-oriented, rather than regulatory in nature. RegTech has the potential to significantly improve broader oversight of the FinTech sector. It is imperative that supervisory authorities are equipped to oversee all relevant risks at the company level. Accordingly, on the one hand, companies must be subject to comprehensive reporting obligations, and on the other hand, competent authorities must maintain appropriate systems for receiving, reviewing and analyzing the data submitted, as well as for taking any necessary regulatory or supervisory measures.[38] From a supervisor's perspective, RegTech and SupTech share many common tools and aspects. In some jurisdictions, regulatory and supervisory functions are performed by the same organization. Both SupTech and RegTech support the achievement of financial system objectives such as stability, market integrity and consumer protection.

Regulatory technology has been increasingly developed and adopted by major financial institutions and Fintech companies. Recent examples include the enforcement of compliance requirements in the financial sector, particularly with respect to Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Know Your Customer (KYC) requirements. AML regulations represent a legislative initiative designed to prevent the generation of illicit income and the concealment of criminal origins or the true ownership of assets.[39] In the era of cryptocurrency and blockchain technologies, while financial institutions have progressively developed tools to combat money laundering over the past several decades, the complexities of Anti-Money Laundering and Know Your Customer regulations have intensified. This is primarily due to the challenges associated with verifying identities, IP addresses, and the increasing incidence of cryptocurrency theft.[40] In response, legislators have expanded the scope of AML regulations. Notably, in 2018, the European Union adopted the Fifth Anti-Money Laundering Directive (AMLD5), which includes provisions for cryptocurrency exchanges.[41] In February 2019, the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) issued a draft of an Interpretive Note to Recommendation 15, which was formally incorporated into the FATF Standards in June 2019. This revision provides guidance for regulatory authorities in member states regarding the risks identification, the sharing of information, and the oversight of vurtual asset service providers. Consequently, virtual asset service providers are now required to be registered or licensed and subject to current supervision by relevant authorities.[42]

Advancements in RegTech and compliance technology are expected to significantly enhance companies' capacity to monitor and manage the financial risks (e.g. credit risk, market risk, operational risk) arising from their business models, and to report such risks to supervisory authorities in a more efficient and effective manner. RegTech has the potential to improve the quality of both a company's internal risk management and external oversight by competent authorities, thereby contributing to the promotion of overall financial stability.[43]

While Regulatory technology (RegTech) can significantly enhance companies' capabilities to comply with existing regulatory obligations, both general and those specific to the FinTech sector, its role is limited to facilitating compliance through technological means. Accordingly, existing regulatory frameworks, as well as any prospective regulatory requirements, may need to be reviewed, adjusted, or amended as necessary to address emerging developments and risks within the FinTech landscape.[44]

[38] Walker, 2017, p. 200
[39] Allen, Gu and Jagtiani, 2020, p.38
[40] Allen, Gu and Jagtiani, 2020, p.39
[41] Directive (EU) 2018/843, Paragraph 8
[42] FATF Recommendations, 2025, pp. 78-79
[43] Walker, 2017, p. 208
[44] Walker, 2017, p. 199

3. SupTech and the Digital Transformation of Supervisory Authorities

Supervisory technology (SupTech) refers to the application of technological tools and solutions, both hardware and software, by supervisory authorities for the purpose of fulfilling their regulatory and supervisory responsibilities. SupTech enables these authorities to enhance their operational capacity, streamline traditionally burdensome or manual supervisory processes through digital workflows, and leverage advanced analytics to support informed decision-making.[45] Moreover, SupTech plays a crucial role in safeguarding the market integrity and financial stability, particularly in mitigating risks associated with financial technologies (FinTech). However, there is no universally accepted definition of SupTech, and there are variations based on application. For instance, the Financial Stability Board (FSB) defines SupTech as the use of technologies by regulators and supervisors in the public sector. The term technologies refers to artificial intelligence and machine learning.[46] Similarly, Bank for International Settlements (BIS) defines SupTech as "the use of technologically enabled innovation by supervisory authorities."[47]

SupTech may be viewed as the supervisory counterpart to FinTech, representing the use of financial technologies in the service of regulatory oversight. SupTech shares significant technological commonalities with FinTech and RegTech, as all three domains can leverage advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), cloud computing, and distributed ledger technology (DLT) to advance their objectives.[48] When used in the context of a regulator's function, RegTech can have a similar meaning to SupTech, but the two concepts differ in meaning and application depending on the institutional context. Specifically, when RegTech is employed by financial service providers (FSPs), it assumes a distinct role, primarily aimed at facilitating compliance with applicable legal and regulatory requirements. Conversely, SupTech is not concerned with enabling compliance by regulated entities. Instead, its primary function lies in strengthening the capacity of supervisory authorities to monitor, assess, and evaluate the compliance activities of such entities. SupTech, therefore, serves as a technological enabler for supervisory functions, rather than as a compliance tool for the regulated institutions themselves.[49]

The implementation of SupTech offers a range of potential benefits, including enhanced efficiency and effectiveness of supervisory activities. These benefits may encompass, *inter alia*, (near) real-time access to regulatory data and the automation of supervisory processes, thereby improving the timeliness and accuracy of oversight functions. Notwithstanding these advantages, the implementation of SupTech may encounter several significant barriers. These may include rigid internal or government policies governing information technology procurement, legal or regulatory restrictions on the cross-border transfer of data, and a lack of transparency regarding the operational mechanisms, governance structures, and control frameworks underpinning the new technologies. Such obstacles may hinder the adoption of SupTech solutions within supervisory authorities.[50]

Conclusions

The integration of digital technologies into modern economies has exerted a profound influence on capital markets. Innovations in financial technology (FinTech), including artificial intelligence, blockchain, cryptocurrencies, robotic process automation, and Internet of Things, have facilitated the reorganization of business processes and the creation of novel financial services. The

[45] Zeranski and Sancak, 2020, p. 317
[46] Zeranski and Sancak, 2020, p. 318
[47] Bank for International Settlement – BIS, 2018, pp. 35
[48] Bank for International Settlement – BIS, 2018, pp. 35
[49] Zeranski and Sancak, 2020, p. 317
[50] Bank for International Settlement – BIS, 2018, pp. 35

continued advancement of digital technologies in the financial sector has had a substantial effect on competitive dynamics, contributing to a reduction in transaction costs and the enhancement of risk management practices.[51]

The convergence of capital markets and the digital economy has emerged as a pivotal factor reshaping the global financial landscape in an era characterized by rapid technological innovation. In the context of the ongoing Fourth Industrial Revolution, the digital economy is poised to become a strategic focal point, penetrating the structural intricacies of the financial domain through digital technologies. This process is expected to accelerate the comprehensive integration of the digital economy with capital markets, thereby enhancing the productivity, profitability, and competitiveness of industries at large.[52] A paradigm shift has taken place with the ascendancy of the digital economy, fundamentally altering the methods by which financial transactions, asset management, and economic activities are conducted.[53] The integration of technology and finance has given rise to previously unprecedented opportunities, challenges, and disruptions. The most significant transformations are observed within the financial markets and their supporting infrastructure, including the capital markets and banking system. These changes exert indirect effects on a broad array of stakeholders, including individuals, corporate entities, non-production sectors, as well as national and municipal financial systems, and other components of the broader financial ecosystem.[54]

The digital transformation of the financial sector, including the capital markets, presents numerous challenges and necessitates a multifaceted approach, involving legal, regulatory, economic, organizational, infrastructural, institutional, and political efforts, among others. The market structure and competitive dynamics of the financial industry have been subject to continuous alteration due to the process of digitization and the rise of technology-driven enterprises (FinTech companies). A paradigm shift has occurred, wherein the traditional, specialized model of the stock market is being supplanted by a technology-driven framework.[55] FinTech has played a central role in providing the technological solutions that have enabled electronic trading, as well as the automation of clearing and settlement processes. The centralization of trading has been further facilitated by the proliferation of algorithmic trading, particularly high-frequency trading (HFT), which is made possible through the use of electronic trading platforms. In contrast to initial expectations, the rise of these digital technologies has resulted in increased barriers to entry for smaller investors and emerging businesses seeking capital, as opposed to the anticipated reduction in such barriers. While digitalization has contributed to lowering transaction and operational costs, thereby enhancing productivity, it has also allowed banks and other financial institutions to expand their service offerings and improve operational efficiency.[56]

The author concludes that capital markets and the digital economy are interconnected. The integration of digital technologies into capital markets has led to enhanced efficiency, greater accessibility, and increased innovation. The manner in which financial transactions are conducted is undergoing significant transformation, driven by the emergence of digital trading platforms, algorithmic trading, and ongoing research into blockchain technology.

Therefore, the active involvement of regulatory authorities is pivotal in ensuring compliance with legal standards, maintaining market stability, and preserving market integrity. The digitalization of the international capital market offers significant opportunities alongside considerable legal and regulatory challenges. From regulatory fragmentation and data privacy concerns to the rise of digital assets market and new technologies like smart contracts, legal systems around the world are grappling with ways to modernize and adapt to these changes. In this sense, regulatory sandboxes

[51] Abuzov, 2023, p. 280
[52] Ren, Li and Shi, 2022, p. 102
[53] Devaram, Saran, Bakyashri and Jeyadevi, 2024, p. 63
[54] Devaram, Saran, Bakyashri and Jeyadevi, 2024, p. 63
[55] Devaram, Saran, Bakyashri and Jeyadevi, 2024, p. 68
[56] Devaram, Saran, Bakyashri and Jeyadevi, 2024, p. 68

and innovation hubs have the potential to facilitate both the simplification of regulations and the promotion of financial innovation. These frameworks provide a more flexible compliance environment for innovators, enabling them to experiment with new financial products and services under a controlled regulatory regime. Moreover, such initiatives may contribute to the rationalization of existing regulatory structures, particularly when empirical experimentation demonstrates that certain regulatory requirements are superfluous or unduly burdensome. However, it is important to acknowledge that the operation of regulatory sandboxes and innovation hubs may expose financial markets to certain risks, particularly if they are not adequately monitored or if the relaxation of regulatory oversight leads to the emergence of harmful market practices.[57]

Regulators have been observed to be modifying current supervisory approaches, as well as introducing new ones, to adapt to digital financial markets. Yet even these creative administrative responses are burdened with their own limitations, including insufficient international coordination. International cooperation, regulatory innovation, and robust enforcement mechanisms will therefore be key to navigating the evolving financial markets, ensuring their stability, security, and fairness. In light of these advances and the rapid pace of digital transformation, it is essential that existing regulations adapt and evolve to keep pace with the new financial landscape - protecting investors and financial systems, while simultaneously fostering responsible FinTech innovations.

References

Abuzov, A. (2023) 'Development of the Financial Capital Market in the Digital Economy', In Mantulenko V. (Ed.) *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference Engineering Innovations and Sustainable Development*, Springer International Publishing, pp. 280-285; Available at: doi:10.1007/978-3-031-38122-5_39.

Allen, F., Gu, X., Jagtiani, J. (2020) 'A Survey of Fintech Research and Policy Discussion', *Working Paper Research Department*, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, pp. 1-55. Available at: https://doi.org/10.21799/frbp.wp.2020.21 (Accessed: 25. July 2025).

ASIC (2020) *Comparison of key features of the ASIC sandbox and the Australian Government's enhanced regulatory sandbox*, Available at: https://download.asic.gov.au/media/5763135/comparison-asic-sandbox-enhanced-regulatory-sandbox-published-25-august-2020.pdf, (Accessed: 26. August 2025).

Bertoni, F., et al. (2022) 'Digitization in the Market for Entrepreneurial Finance: Innovative Business Models and New Financing Channels', *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 46(5), pp. 1120-1135.

BIS (2018) *Sound Practices: Implications of Fintech Developments for Banks and Bank Supervisors,* Basel: Bank for International Settlements - Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.

Devaram, N. M., Saran, S. S. R., Bakyashri, S. R., Jeyadevi, C. J. (2024) **'**Financial Market and Digital Economy', *IPE Journal of Management*, 14(15), pp. 63-68.

Directive (EU) 2018/843 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 amending Directive (EU) 2015/849 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing, and amending Directives 2009/138/EC and 2013/36/EU, *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 156.

FATF (2012-2025) *International Standards on Combating Money Laundering and the Financing of Terrorism & Proliferation,* FATF. Paris: FATF Secretariat; Available at: www.fatf-

[57] Yadav and Brummer, 2019, p. 295.

gafi.org/en/publications/Fatfrecommendations/Fatf-recommendations.html (Accessed: 25. August 2025).

Feng, T., Dong, X., Wang, Y. (2024) 'Assessing the impact of digital transformation on capital market information efficiency under environmental uncertainty: Evidence from China', *PLoS ONE*, 19(1), pp. 1-34. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0295187 (Accessed: 15 August 2025).

Financial Conduct Authority – FCA (2016) *Call for input on supporting the development and adopters of RegTech*, London: FCA; Available at: https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/feedback/fs-16-04.pdf (Accessed: 27. August 2025).

Financial Conduct Authority – FCA (2017) *Regulatory sandbox lessons learned report*, London: FCA; Available at: https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/research-and-data/regulatory-sandbox-lessons-learned-report.pdf (Accessed: 27. August 2025).

Financial Stability Board – FSB (2017) Financial Stability Implications from FinTech – Supervisory and Regulatory Issues that Merit Authorities' Attention, pp. 1-61, Available at: https://www.fsb.org/uploads/R270617.pdf (Accessed: 26. August 2025).

Gedikli, A., Sharma, G. D., Erdogan, S., Hammoudeh, S. (2024) 'Artificial intelligence, disruption of financial markets and natural resources economy in the digital era', *Resources Policy*, 92:104953, pp. 1-12.

Howell, S., et al. (2023) 'How resilient is venture-backed innovation? Evidence from four decades of U.S. patenting', *NBER Working Paper Series*, pp. 1-39, Available at: http://www.nber.org/papers/w27150 (Accessed: 16. August 2025).

Institute of International Finance – IIF (2016) RegTech in Financial Services: Technology Solutions for Compliance and Reporting, Available at: https://www.iif.com/portals/0/Files/content/RegTech%20in%20Financial%20Servies.pdf (Accessed: 27. August 2025).

Naudé, W. (2021) 'Artificial intelligence: neither Utopian nor apocalyptic impacts soon', *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 30(1), pp. 1-23, Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2020.1839173

Pavlović, M. (2019) *Šta je to FinTech?* Novine Toronto No. 1587 (Online). Available at: https://www.novine.ca/U_fokusu/sta-je-fintech/ (Accessed: 10. September 2025).

Ren, X., Li, J., Shi, Y. (2022) 'Can digital economic attention spillover to financial markets? Evidence from the time-varying Granger test', *Journal of Digital Economy*, 1, pp. 102-116.

Ringe, W. G., Ruof, C. (2019) 'A Regulatory Sandbox for Robo Advice', *EBI Working Paper Series*, Frankfurt am Main: European Banking Institute. pp. 1-73.

Sovilj, R. (2019) 'Legal aspects of globalization and integration of the financial market – Case study of Serbia', In: Ilik, G., Stanojoska, A. (Eds.) *Towards a Better Future: Democracy, EU Integration and Criminal Justice*, Bitola: "St. Kliment Ohridski" University - Faculty of law – Kicevo, pp. 160-170.

Sovilj, R. (2023) *Pravni položaj investicionih društava na tržištu kapitala (Legal status of investment firms on the capital market)*. Belgrade: Institute of Social Scineces.

Uysal, E., Bozkaya, S. (2021) 'Digitalization in Turkish Capital Markets: A Regulatory Approach', In Hacioglu, U., Aksoy, T. (Eds.) *Financial Ecosystem and Strategy in the Digital Era – Global Approaches and New Opportunities*, Springer International Publishing, pp. 35-56.

Walker, G. (2017) 'Financial Technology Law - A New Beginning and a New Future', *International Lawyer*, 50(1), pp. 137-216.

Walport, M. (2015) 'FinTech Futures - The UK as a World Leader in Financial Technologies', London: Government Office for Science; pp. 1-68. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7f4d79ed915d74e33f598e/gs-15-3-fintech-futures.pdf (Accessed: 26. August 2025).

Yadav, Y., Brummer, C. (2019) 'Fintech and the Innovation Trilemma', *Georgetown Law Journal*, 107(235), pp. 235-307. Available at: https://scholarship.law.vanderbilt.edu/faculty-publications/1084/ (Accessed: 26. August 2025).

Yadav, Y. (2020) 'Fintech and International Financial Regulation', *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, 53(3), pp. 1109-1146. Available at: https://scholarship.law.vanderbilt.edu/faculty-publications/1174/ (Accessed: 26. August 2025).

Zeranski, S., Sancak, I. E. (2020) 'Digitalisation of Financial Supervision with Supervisory Technology (SupTech)', *Journal of International Banking Law & Regulation*, 8, pp. 309-329.